IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 8065 OF 2006

N.P. Patil ..Petitioner

V/S

Shri Chhatrapati Shahu SSK Ltd. ..Respondent

Mr. M. S. Topkal for Petitioner.

Mr. Abhay Nevaji with Mr. Yogesh Paranjpe i/b M/s. Paras Kuhad & Associates for Respondents.

CORAM : A.P. DESHPANDE, J.

DATE : 15TH JANUARY, 2007.

P.C.

1. Perused the order. It does not suffer from any illegality or impropriety warranting any interference. The writ petition is summarily dismissed. At this stage learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Respondent has proceeded against the petitioner in the departmental proceeding alleging misconduct on account of petitioner’s absence pending these proceedings. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would report for duty within 15 days from today. Learned counsel for the respondent states that if the petitioner would report for duty within 15 days time, then the Respondent would not go ahead

with the departmental enquiry. Failure on the part of the petitioner to report for duty within 15 days time prompt the respondent to go ahead with the departmental enquiry touching this misconduct. Statements made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and respondent are accepted. The petition is dismissed summarily. The petitioner to report for duty within 15 days from today. In the event the petitioner reports for duty within 15 days, the departmental enquiry initiated against the petitioner on the ground of misconduct for absence from duty would be dropped.

(A.P. DESHPANDE, J.)